UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/777,119	02/13/2004	Jonathan Hui	03630.000199.1	5009	
	7590 03/17/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFEL		JUNG, DAVID YIUK			
NEW YORK, N	N1 10112	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2134			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/777,119		HUI ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			David Y. Ju	ng	2134				
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commun	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)∏ Responsi	ve to communication(s) file	ed on							
•	• •	2b)⊠ This a	_	n_final					
<u> </u>		′—			secution as to the	marite is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
0.0304 111	accordance with the pract	icc dildci 27	v parte Qua	yic, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Cla	ms								
4)☐ Claim(s) _	1-29 and 67 is/are pending	in the appli	ication.						
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) _	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)☐ Claim(s)									
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.								
	are subject to restric	ction and/or	election red	quirement.					
Application Paper									
	ication is objected to by th			7 - 6: 4 4 6 46 1	<b>-</b>				
•	ng(s) filed on is/are	•							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
			·	• ,		` '			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (F esure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date	PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				



Application No.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-29, 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SMIL and MHEG-5 (both cited in the previous Office Action).

Regarding claim 1, SMIL teaches "In an extensible markup language document stored on a computer-readable memory medium, wherein said document comprises plural elements used in developing and executing a multimedia presentation by a computer, an [] element tag which is readable by the computer from the memory medium and which defines an [], comprising:

a parameter indicating a type of [] to be captured by the computer, wherein one or more child elements are associated to said [] element tag, and wherein upon capture of the specified [] the one or more child elements are processed (section 1. Specification Approach, the first sentence which discusses XML and refers to terms defined in XML 1.0)." Note that XML refers to extensible markup language. Note also that XML uses element tags to handle data.

These passages of SMIL do not teach "event" in the sense of the claim.

IEEE teaches "event (section MHEG-5 overview, the first paragraph which discusses event, action") for the motivation of having easier user control (section MHEG-5 overview, the third paragraph which discusses user control and navigation features).

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine teachings of SMIL and IEEE for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 10, 16, 21, 24, 29 are independent claims.

Claims 5, 8, 9, 10, 16, 29 deal with various attribute handlings. See IEEE, section Major MHEG-5 classes, the first paragraph, which discusses attributes in the context of events, actions, and objects.

Claims 21, 24 deal with various interpolate (indication of time and value changes over time). See SMIL, section 4.2 Synchronization Elements, which discusses indication of time and value changes over time. In particular, note the par element (parallel time handling) and the seq element (sequential time element).

Claims 2-4, 6-7, 11-15, 17-20, 22-23, 25-28 are dependent claims.

Claims 2-4 11-12, 15, 17-20, 22-23, 25-28 deal with various event handlings. See IEEE, section Major MHEG-5 classes, the first paragraph, which discusses attributes in the context of events, actions, and objects.

As noted in IEEE, MHEG-5 was especially created for such various event handlings because such features were particularly in demand at the time of the creation of MHEG-5.

Claims 6-7, 13-14 deal with various synchronization and time handlings. See SMIL, section 4.2 Synchronization Elements, which discusses indication of time and value changes over time. In particular, note the par element (parallel time handling) and the seq element (sequential time element).

As noted in SMIL, the SMIL specification (which is referred in the very title of this patent application) was specially created for such media handlings which necessarily involve such various synchronization and time handlings.

Regarding claim 67, this claim is computer-readable medium analog of claims 1-29 For the reasons noted in the rejections of claims 1-29, this claim 67 is not

patentable.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-3836 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or

"DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836

or Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811.

/David Jung/

-----

Patent Examiner

4/30/08